



ఆంధ్రప్రదేశ్ రాజ పత్రము
THE ANDHRA PRADESH GAZETTE
PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

W.No.27

AMARAVATI, WEDNESDAY, JULY 8, 2026

G.243

**PART I - NOTIFICATIONS BY GOVERNMENT, HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS
AND OTHER OFFICERS**

--X--

NOTIFICATIONS BY GOVERNMENT

**TRIBAL WELFARE DEPARTMENT
(LTR.A2)**

REVISION PETITION FILED BY Smt. DATLA @ ALLURI LAKSHMI NARASAYAMMA, W/o VENKATAPATHI RAJU AND ONE OTHER, RESIDENT OF YELLAVARAM VILLAGE, ADDATEEGALA MANDAL, ALLURI SEETHARAMARAJU DISTRICT (ERSTWHILE EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT) UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF APSALTR ACT 1959 AGAINST THE ORDERS OF THE ADDITIONAL AGENT TO THE GOVERNMENT, RAMPACHODAVARAM, ALLURI SEETHARAMARAJU DISTRICT IN C.M.A. No.87/2005, DATED:05.11.2008 IN RESPECT OF PETITION SCHEDULED LAND OF YELLAVARAM VILLAGE, ADDATEEGALA MANDAL, POLAVARAM DISTRICT (ERSTWHILE EAST GODAVARI DISTRICT AND ALLURI SEETHARAMARAJU DISTRICT) - ALLOWED.

[G.O.Ms.No.25, Tribal Welfare (LTR.A2.), 7th July, 2026.]

Read the following:-

1. Revision Petition filed by Smt. Datla @ Alluri Lakshmi Narasayamma, W/o Venkatapathi Raju and one other, R/o Yellavaram Village, Addateegala Mandal, Alluri Seetharamaraju District (erstwhile E.G. District) before the Government, dated:.03.01.2009 along with its enclosures.
2. Para-wise Remarks received from the Project Officer, ITDA, Rampachodavaram, dated:.20.12.2008.

** ** *

ORDER:

In the reference 1st read above, Smt. Datla @ Alluri Lakshmi Narasayamma, W/o Venkatapathi Raju and one other R/o Yellavaram Village, Addateegala Mandal, Alluri Seetharamaraju District (erstwhile East Godavari) has filed the present Revision Petition under the provisions of the A.P. Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation, 1959 against the orders of the Additional Agent to Government, Rampachodavaram, Alluri Seetharamaraju District passed in C.M.A. No.87/2005, dated:05.11.2008 and common orders of the Special Deputy Collector (TW), Rampachodavaram in LTRP Nos.31/2004,32/2004 and 78/2004, dated.04.11.2004 of Yellavaram Village, Addateegala Mandal, East Godavari District.

2. The above said Revision Petition has been posted for hearing before the Hon'ble Revision Authority on several dates and finally on 28.11.2025, duly informing the same to the petitioner and defendants. During the course of the hearing and on perusal of the connected records/relevant materials and para-wise remarks submitted by the Additional Agent to Government cum Project Officer, ITDA, Rampachodavaram and written arguments filed by both parties counsels, the Revision Authority has observed that:-

BRIEF FACTS OF THE CASE:

- I. Proceedings were initiated by the Special Deputy Tahsildar (Tribal Welfare), Addateegala Mandal, by filing L.T.R.P. Nos.31/2004, 32/2004 and 78/2004 before the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Rampachodavaram, alleging that the subject lands situated in Yellavaram Village of Addateegala Mandal, East Godavari District, was involved in prohibited transfers under the provisions of the A.P. Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation, 1959 as amended by Regulation 1 of 1970.
- II. The Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Rampachodavaram, after conducting detailed enquiry, by common order dated:04.11.2004 in L.T.R.P. Nos.31/2004, 32/2004 and 78/2004, examined the nature of transactions and documentary evidence placed on record and held that the alleged transactions do not attract the provisions of the Regulation and accordingly dismissed the cases.
- III. Aggrieved by the said orders, an appeal was preferred by the Special Deputy Tahsildar (Tribal Welfare) before the Additional Agent to Government, Rampachodavaram, which was numbered as C.M.A. No.87/2005.
- IV. The Additional Agent to Government, Rampachodavaram, by order dated: 05.11.2008 in C.M.A. No.87/2005, allowed the appeal, set aside the common order dated:04.11.2004 passed by the Special Deputy Collector (TW), Rampachodavaram, and held that the transactions were in violation of the provisions of the A.P. Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation, 1959 as amended by Regulation 1 of 1970.

3. Aggrieved by the orders passed by the Additional Agent to Government, Rampachodavaram, East Godavari District in C.M.A. No.87/2005, dated:05.11.2008, whereby the common order dated:04.11.2004 passed by the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Rampachodavaram in L.T.R.P. Nos.31/2004, 32/2004 and 78/2004 was set aside in respect of the subject land situated in Yellavaram Village, Addateegala Mandal, East Godavari District, Smt. Datla @ Alluri Lakshmi Narasayamma, W/o. Venkatapathi Raju, along with another petitioner, has filed the present Revision Petition dated:03.01.2009 before the Government, seeking to set aside the said appellate orders and to restore the well-reasoned order of the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Rampachodavaram.

4. The Additional Agent to Government cum Project Officer, ITDA, Rampachodavaram, has been requested to furnish detailed para-wise remarks along with connected records in respect of the Revision Petition filed by Smt. Datla @ Alluri Lakshmi Narasayamma, W/o. Venkatapathi Raju, and another, R/o. Yellavaram Village, Addateegala Mandal, so as to enable disposal of the Revision Petition at Government level. Accordingly, the Project Officer, ITDA, Rampachodavaram, Alluri Seetharamaraju District, has submitted para-wise remarks along with connected records vide letter dated:20.12.2008, enclosing copies of the orders passed by the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Rampachodavaram in L.T.R.P. Nos.31/2004, 32/2004 and 78/2004 dated:04.11.2004, and the orders of the Additional Agent to Government, Rampachodavaram passed in C.M.A. No.87/2005, dated:05.11.2008, together with remarks on the Revision Petition filed by the Revision Petitioners.

5. The said Revision Petition was posted for hearing before the Hon'ble Revision Authority. On careful consideration of the records connected with the case, the para-wise remarks submitted by the Project Officer, ITDA, Rampachodavaram cum Additional Agent to Government, and the other material available on record, the Hon'ble Revision Authority has observed as follows:

(i) The Memorandum of Revision Petition has been filed by Smt. Datla @ Alluri Lakshmi Narasayamma, W/o. Venkatapathi Raju, along with another petitioner, R/o. Yellavaram Village, Addateegala Mandal, East Godavari District, being aggrieved by the orders passed by the Additional Agent to Government, Rampachodavaram in C.M.A.No.87/2005, dated:05.11.2008, whereby the common order dated:04.11.2004 passed by the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Rampachodavaram in L.T.R.P. Nos.31/2004, 32/2004 and 78/2004 relating to the subject land situated in Yellavaram Village, Addateegala Mandal, East Godavari District, was set aside, seeking interference by the Government under Section 6 of the A.P. Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation, 1959 as amended by Regulation 1 of 1970.

(ii) It is seen from the records that proceedings were initiated by the Special Deputy Tahsildar (Tribal Welfare), Addateegala Mandal, by filing L.T.R.P. Nos.31/2004, 32/2004 and 78/2004 before the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Rampachodavaram, alleging that the subject land was involved in prohibited transfers under the provisions of the A.P. Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation, 1959 as amended by Regulation 1 of 1970.

(iii) It is further seen that the Special Deputy Collector (TW), Rampachodavaram, by common order dated:04.11.2004 in L.T.R.P. Nos.31/2004, 32/2004 and 78/2004, after conducting detailed enquiry, held that the transactions in question do not attract the provisions of the Regulation and accordingly dismissed the cases.

(iv) It is also noted that, aggrieved by the said common order, an appeal was preferred before the Additional Agent to Government, Rampachodavaram, which was numbered as C.M.A. No.87/2005.

(v) It is seen from the appellate records that the Additional Agent to Government, Rampachodavaram, by order dated:05.11.2008 in C.M.A. No.87/2005, allowed the appeal, set aside the common order dated:04.11.2004 passed by the Special Deputy Collector (TW), Rampachodavaram, and held that the transactions are in violation of the provisions of the A.P. Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation, 1959 as amended by Regulation 1 of 1970.

(vi) It is further noted that, aggrieved by the orders passed by the Additional Agent to Government, Rampachodavaram in C.M.A. No.87/2005, dated:05.11.2008, whereby the orders of the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Rampachodavaram in L.T.R.P. Nos.31/2004, 32/2004 and 78/2004 were set aside in respect of the subject land situated in Yellavaram Village, Addateeqala Mandal, East Godavari District, the Revision Petitioners have filed the present Revision Petition dated:03.01.2009 before the Government, seeking to set aside the said appellate orders and to restore the order of the Special Deputy Collector (TW), Rampachodavaram.

6. The main contentions put forth by the Revision Petitioners, Smt. Datla @ Alluri Lakshmi Narasayamma, W/o. Venkatapathi Raju, and another, that the proceedings initiated by the Special Deputy Tahsildar (Tribal Welfare), Addateeqala Mandal, in L.T.R.P. Nos.31/2004, 32/2004 and 78/2004 were based on erroneous assumptions and without proper verification of the origin of title and nature of transactions relating to the subject land. It is contended that the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Rampachodavaram, after conducting a detailed enquiry, rightly appreciated the evidence and held that the alleged transactions do not constitute prohibited transfers under the A.P. Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation, 1959 as amended by Regulation 1 of 1970.

7. The Revision Petitioners contend that the subject lands trace their origin to lawful acquisitions made by their predecessors through registered transactions from non-tribals much prior to the coming into force of the Regulation, 1959 amended by Regulation 1 of 1970. The Details of those transactions are produced below:

(i) It is contended that under registered document No.209/1933 dated:29.04.1933, lands were purchased from Sri Gore Satyanarayana Gajapathi Rao, a non-tribal, by the Datla Veera Raghava Raju, Ramanayya Raju and Surya Narayana Raju comprising extents in Sy.No.53/1 admeasuring Ac.2-06 and Sy.No.5/5 admeasuring Ac.21-79, aggregating to Ac.23-55.

(ii) It is further contended that under registered document No.47/1946 dated:23.01.1946, lands were purchased from Sri Rama Krishna, a non-tribal, in favour of Sri Datla Ramanayya Raju, Datla Veera Raghava Raju and Sri Datla Suryanarayana Raju, including extents in Sy.No.2 admeasuring Ac.13-97, Sy.No.3 admeasuring Ac.17-86, Sy.No.14/1 admeasuring Ac.3-84, Sy.No.14/3 admeasuring Ac.5-76 and Sy.No.56 admeasuring Ac.102-44, aggregating to Ac.143-87.

(iii) It is further contended that under registered document No.1018/1953 dated:19.03.1953, land in Sy.No.58 admeasuring Ac.6-09 was purchased from Sri Mohan Rao, a non-tribal by the said brothers.

8. The Revision Petitioners further contend that, out of the lands so acquired and subsequently divided among the family members, an extent falling to the share of Sri Datla Suryanarayana Raju S/o Venkata Subba Raju formed part of the subject lands, he executed a registered Gift Deed bearing Document No.7090/1957 dated:03.12.1957 in favour of his daughter Smt. Datla Vijaya Lakshmi (minor), represented by her mother Smt. Bangarayamma, for a total extent of Ac.46-03. It is contended that the said gift comprises land in Sy.No.3 admeasuring Ac.6-05, Sy.No.4 admeasuring Ac.1-21, Sy.No.14-1 admeasuring Ac.1-20, Sy.No.53-1 admeasuring Ac.1-96, Sy.No.55 admeasuring Ac.12-79, Sy.No.56 admeasuring Ac.17-00, Sy.No.57-1 admeasuring Ac.4-67 and Sy.No.57-3 admeasuring Ac.1-15.

9. The Revision Petitioners further contend that the other subject lands were lawfully acquired through registered sale transactions forming part of a valid and continuous chain of title.

(i) It is contended that under registered Sale Deed No.1151/1969 dated:25.07.1969, Sri Datla Venkata Krishnamraju S/o Venkata Subbaraju conveyed land admeasuring Ac.15-00 in favour of Smt. Datla Lakshmi Narasayamma. It is further contended that the said transaction comprises land in Sy.No.3 admeasuring Ac.6-34, Sy.No.2 admeasuring Ac.5-33, Sy.No.56 admeasuring Ac.3-00 and Sy.No.57-3 admeasuring Ac.0-33.

(ii). It is further contended that under registered Sale Deed No.1150/1969 dated:25.07.1969, Sri Datla Venkata Ramanayyaraju S/o Ramanayyaraju conveyed land admeasuring Ac.9-27 in favour of Smt. Datla Lakshmi Narasayamma. It is contended that the said transaction comprises land in Sy.No.14-1A admeasuring Ac.2-47, Sy.No.4 admeasuring Ac.1-00, Sy.No.3 admeasuring Ac.5-47 and Sy.No.57-1A admeasuring Ac.0-33.

(iii). It is also contended that under registered Sale Deed No.1133/69 dated:23.07.1969, Sri Datla Venkata Subbaraju S/o Sri Ramanayyaraju conveyed land admeasuring Ac.15-00 in favour of Smt. Datla Lakshmi Narasayamma. It is further contended that the said transaction comprises land in Sy.No.2 admeasuring Ac.6-04, Sy.No.56 admeasuring Ac.8-62 and Sy.No.57-3 admeasuring Ac.0-34, thereby establishing lawful acquisition through registered instruments prior to the commencement of Regulation 1 of 1970.

10. The Revision Petitioners further contend that the title to the subject lands is traceable through family arrangements and settlement. It is contended that under Settlement Deed dated:15.10.1969 executed by Smt. Datla Bangarayamma W/o Suryanarayanaraju, the properties were distributed among her children, namely Venkata Krishnamraju, Venkata Subbaraju, Ramakrishnamraju, Smt. Lakshmi Narasayamma, Smt. Bangarayamma@Syamala and Smt. Vijaya Lakshmi. It is further contended that pursuant to the said settlement, the family members have divided and partitioned the properties and the same were duly incorporated in the revenue records.

11. The Revision Petitioners have reasserted that the subject lands are their ancestral properties which devolved upon them and that they have been in continuous, lawful possession and enjoyment of the same for several decades. It is further contended that the alleged "cowl deeds" relied upon by the opposing parties are self-serving, invalid and not executed by the lawful owners of the land. The said documents, being unsupported by title and executed by persons having no lawful interest in the property, cannot be treated as valid transfers under law.

12. It is specifically contended that the above alleged cowl deeds are inherently inconsistent and legally untenable, in as much as they purport to take effect from 01.04.1969, whereas the title of the Revision Petitioner over the subject land itself was crystallized only under registered Sale Deed No.1151/1969 dated:25.07.1969. This material contradiction clearly establishes that the alleged cowl transactions are fictitious, ante-dated and not based on any lawful transfer of interest. Therefore, the very foundation of the case set up against the Revision Petitioners is unsustainable in law.

13. The Revision Petitioners have further contended that the Special Deputy Collector (TW), Rampachodavaram, after a full-fledged enquiry, had rightly concluded that the transactions in question were not hit by the provisions of the Regulation, and accordingly dismissed the L.T.R.P. cases. However, the Additional Agent to Government, Rampachodavaram, while allowing the appeal in C.M.A. No.87/2005, failed to properly appreciate the documentary evidence on record and erroneously reversed a well-reasoned order without assigning valid or sustainable reasons.

14. The Revision Petitioners have also placed reliance on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *The Dy. Collector & Anr vs S. Venkata Ramanaiah & Anr* (1995), wherein it was categorically held that the provisions of Section 3(1) of the A.P. Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation are purely prospective in nature and do not affect transactions which took place prior to the coming into force of the Regulation. It is contended that the sale transactions relate to a period prior to the enforcement of Regulation 1 of 1970 and therefore cannot be invalidated by applying the provisions of the Regulation retrospectively. The Revision Petitioners assert that the appellate authority has failed to consider this settled legal position and has erroneously applied the provisions of the Regulation to transactions which are not hit by law.

15. The Revision Petitioners have further contended that the Hon'ble High Court, in W.P. No. 8795 of 2009 dated:28.04.2009, directed the Government to dispose of the present Revision Petition filed against the orders of the Additional Agent to Government, Rampachodavaram in C.M.A. No.87/2005, dated:05.11.2008, within a

period of four months from the date of its order in accordance with law. It is also contended that the Hon'ble High Court granted status quo with regard to possession of the subject land pending disposal of the Revision Petition, thereby recognizing the need for proper adjudication of the matter at the revisional stage.

16. It is also contended that the appellate authority committed serious errors of fact and law in holding that the transactions were in violation of the Regulation, without establishing that the land was ever held by a tribal or that any valid transfer from a tribal to a non-tribal had taken place. It is further contended that the provisions of the A.P. Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation, 1959 as amended by Regulation 1 of 1970 cannot be invoked in the absence of proof of a prohibited transfer, and that the findings of the appellate authority are contrary to the settled legal position. On these grounds, the Revision Petitioners have prayed that the orders passed by the Additional Agent to Government, Rampachodavaram in C.M.A. No.87/2005, dated:05.11.2008 be set aside and the common order dated:04.11.2004 passed by the Special Deputy Collector (TW), Rampachodavaram be restored.

17. On careful scrutiny of the entire material available on record, including the common order dated:04.11.2004 passed by the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Rampachodavaram in L.T.R.P. Nos.31/2004, 32/2004 and 78/2004, the orders passed by the Additional Agent to Government, Rampachodavaram in C.M.A. No.87/2005, dated:05.11.2008, the grounds raised in the Revision Petition, the written arguments submitted by the Revision Petitioners, the para-wise remarks and connected records furnished by the Project Officer, ITDA, Rampachodavaram, and upon hearing the parties, the following question arises for consideration:

Whether the Revision Petitioners have established that the subject lands situated in Yellavaram Village, Addateegala Mandal, East Godavari District, is not covered by prohibited transfers under the provisions of the A.P. Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation, 1959 as amended by Regulation 1 of 1970, and whether the order passed by the Additional Agent to Government, Rampachodavaram in C.M.A. No.87/2005, dated:05.11.2008 warrants interference under Section 6 of the said Regulation?

On careful examination of the records, it is observed as follows:

- i. The subject lands situated in Yellavaram Village, Addateegala Mandal, East Godavari District, fall within a notified Scheduled Area and are governed by the provisions of the A.P. Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation, 1959 as amended by Regulation 1 of 1970. It is observed by this Revisional Authority that applicability of the Regulation depends upon proof of a prohibited transfer as contemplated under the statute. In the present case, no such foundational fact is established from the record.
- ii. It is observed by this Revisional Authority that the title of the Revision Petitioners is supported by registered Sale Deed Nos.1133/69 dated:23.07.1969, 1150/69 dated:25.7.1969 and 1151/69 dated:25.07.1969. These registered instruments constitute valid and lawful evidence of title and clearly establish the acquisition of the subject lands. The documentary record consistently reflects the title and possession of the Revision Petitioners based on these registered transactions.

- iii. It is further observed that the above sale transactions were executed in the year 1969, prior to the coming into force of Regulation 1 of 1970. The Revision Petitioners have placed reliance on the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *The Dy. Collector & Anr vs S. Venkata Ramanaiah & Anr* (1995), wherein it was held that the provisions of Section 3(1) of the Regulation are prospective in nature and do not affect past transactions. This Revisional Authority finds merit in the said contention, as the legal principle laid down therein squarely supports the position that transactions completed prior to the commencement of Regulation 1 of 1970 cannot be invalidated by applying the Regulation retrospectively.
- iv. It is also observed that the Additional Agent to Government, while passing orders in C.M.A. No. 87/2005. dated:05.11.2008. has held that the above transactions are in violation of the provisions of the A.P. Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation, 1959. However, this finding is not borne out by the record, in as much as the transactions in question are between non-tribals and were executed in the year 1969. Prior to the amendment by Regulation 1 of 1970, transfers between non-tribals were not prohibited under the Regulation. Therefore, neither the original Regulation of 1959 nor the amended provisions under Regulation 1 of 1970 are attracted to the present transactions. The conclusion of the appellate authority on this aspect is, therefore, legally unsustainable.
- v. It is observed by this Revisional Authority that L.T.R.P. No.31/2004 was initiated based on the registered cowl deed bearing Document No.4798/72 dated:25.07.1972, executed by Tadepalli Maridi Raju S/o Veerraju to Smt. Datla Lakshmi Narasayamma D/o Suryanarayana, in respect of land admeasuring Ac.15-00 comprising Sy.No.3 admeasuring Ac.6-34, Sy.No.2 admeasuring Ac.5-33, Sy.No.56 admeasuring Ac.3-00 and Sy.No.57-3 admeasuring Ac.0-33.
- vi. It is further observed that L.T.R.P. No.32/2004 was based on the registered cowl deed bearing Document No.4802/72, executed by Tadepalli Maridi Raju to Smt. Datla Vijaya Lakshmi M/g Bangarayamma, in respect of land in Sy.No.55 admeasuring Ac.12-79 out of total extent Ac.21-97.
- vii. It is also observed that L.T.R.P. No.78/2004 was based on a cowl deed executed by Sri Pakalapati Padmanabha Raju in favour of Smt. Datla Lakshmi Narasayamma, in respect of land admeasuring Ac.25-48, comprising Sy.No.2 admeasuring Ac.6-04, Sy.No.3 admeasuring Ac.5-47, Sy.No.4 admeasuring Ac.2-21, Sy.No.14-1A admeasuring Ac.2-47, Sy.No.56 admeasuring Ac.8-62, Sy.No.57 admeasuring Ac.0-34 and Ac.0-33.
- viii. It is observed by this Revisional Authority that, upon perusal of the above alleged cowl deeds the said documents are unilateral and self-declaratory in nature. Such documents, not being supported by lawful title or executed through valid conveyance, do not create or confer any enforceable right or interest in the property. The Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Rampachodavaram has rightly held these documents to be invalid, and this Revisional Authority finds no reason to differ from the said finding.

- ix. It is further observed that the said cowl deeds are stated to take effect from 01.04.1969, whereas the ownership of Smt. Datla @ Alluri Lakshmi Narasayamma over the subject lands is traceable only to the registered Sale Deed dated:23.07.1969. Thus, as on 01.04.1969, she had not acquired title over the property. In such circumstances, execution of a cowl deed purporting to create rights in respect of the said land from an earlier date is inherently inconsistent and not legally sustainable.
 - x. It is also observed that the Additional Agent to Government has relied upon the said cowl deeds without examining the above inconsistency or the competency of the executants. The failure to consider these material defects and contradictions vitiates the findings recorded in the appellate order.
 - xi. It is further observed by this Revisional Authority that there is no material on record to establish that the subject lands were ever held by any tribal or that any transfer from a tribal to a non-tribal had taken place. In the absence of such essential requirements, invocation of the provisions of the Regulation is not justified.
 - xii. The Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Rampachodavaram, by common order dated:04.11.2004 in L.T.R.P. Nos.31/2004, 32/2004 and 78/2004, has examined the matter in detail and recorded findings based on documentary evidence. This Revisional Authority finds that the conclusions drawn therein are consistent with the material available on record.
 - xiii. On the other hand, the order passed by the Additional Agent to Government in C.M.A. No.87/2005, dated:05.11.2008 does not reflect proper consideration of the relevant facts and documents. The reversal of the original order without addressing the key issues renders the appellate order unsustainable. Therefore, the said order is liable to be set aside.
18. In view of the above, and in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 6 of the A.P. Scheduled Areas Land Transfer Regulation, 1959 as amended by Regulation 1 of 1970, the Revision Petition filed by Smt. Datla @ Alluri Lakshmi Narasayamma, W/o. Venkatapathi Raju and another, is hereby allowed.
19. Accordingly, the order dated:05.11.2008 passed by the Additional Agent to Government, Rampachodavaram in C.M.A. No.87/2005, setting aside the common order dated:04.11.2004 passed by the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Rampachodavaram in L.T.R.P. Nos.31/2004, 32/2004 and 78/2004, is hereby set aside.
20. Consequently, the common order dated:04.11.2004 passed by the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Rampachodavaram in L.T.R.P. Nos.31/2004, 32/2004 and 78/2004 is hereby restored.
21. The Government after careful examination of the revision petition and the circumstances stated supra and in exercise of the powers conferred under section 6 of the Andhra Pradesh Scheduled Area Land Transfer Regulations 1 of 59 as amended by 1 of 70, the order dated:05.11.2008 passed by the Additional Agent to Government, Rampachodavaram in C.M.A. No.87/2005, setting aside the common order dated:04.11.2004 passed by the Special Deputy Collector (Tribal Welfare), Rampachodavaram in L.T.R.P. Nos.31/2004, 32/2004 and 78/2004 is hereby restored.

22. Hence, the Revision Petition filed by Smt. Datla @ Alluri Lakshmi Narasayamma W/o Venkatapathi Raju and one other R/o. Yellavaram Village, Addateegala Mandal, Alluri Seetharamaraju District (erstwhile East Godavari) with C.M.A. No.87/2005 in LTRP Nos.31/2004, 32/2004 and 78/2004 is hereby allowed by the Revisional Authority and Interim orders passed if any are hereby vacated in the matter.

23. The Collector & District Magistrate, Polavaram District (erstwhile ASR District and East Godavari) is requested to take necessary action in the matter accordingly and acknowledge the receipt of the case records, which are returned herewith.

24. This order shall be published in apegazette.cgg.gov.in

MUDAVATU. M. NAYAK,
Secretary to Government (FAC).

-- X --